4140

Digitally signed by Eliot L Bernstein
Dol: CRL / Eliet J Bernstein, C = US, O = Iviewit Holdings, Inc.
Feason 1 agt 10 author of this document
Coaligh: 2004 10 07. FLORIDA SUPREME COURT MOTION
Date: 2004. 10.07 07:01:52 -04'00'

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN and	)
P. STEPHEN LAMONT	)
	)
Petitioners	)
	, ·
vs.	)
7.50	)
THE FLORIDA BAR (IN THE MATTER OF	)
ATTORNEY COMPLAINTS AGAINST;	)
CHRISTOPHER C. WHEELER, FILE NO:	)
•	)
2003-51 109 (15c); CHRISTOPHER C. WHEELER 2, FILE NO: PENDING CASE	)
	)
NO. ASSIGNMENT; MATTHEW H. TRIGGS,	)
NO: PENDING CASE NO. ASSIGNMENT;	)
ERIC M. TURNER, FILE NO: PENDING	)
CASE NO. ASSIGNMENT); AND	)
COMPLAINTS OF CONFLICTS OF	)
INTEREST AND APPEARANCES OF	) CASE NO: SC04-1078
IMPROPRIETY WITH THE FOLLOWING	)
FLORIDA BAR REPRESENTATIVES;	)
MATTHEW H. TRIGGS AS A GRIEVANCE	)
COMMITTEE MEMBER AND FORMER	)
GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE MEMBER;	)
CHRISTOPHER WHEELER AS A	)
GRIEVANCE	)
COMMITTEE MEMBER AND FORMER	)
GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE MEMBER;	)
KELLY OVERSTREET JOHNSON AS	)
PRESIDENT, KENNETH L. MARVIN AS	)
DIRECTOR OF LAWYER REGULATION,	)
JOHN ANTHONY BOGGS AS DIRECTOR	)
OF LAWYER REGULATION; LORRAINE	)
CHRISTINE HOFFMAN AS BAR COUNSEL;	)
ERIC MONTEL TURNER AS CHIEF	)
BRANCH DISCIPLINE COUNSEL; AND	)
JOY A. BARTMON AS CHAIR OF A	)
GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE	)
	)
Respondents.	)
	)

MOTION FOR: DECLARATORY RELIEF; INTERVENE IN THIRD PARTY INVESTIGATIONS OF THE BOCA RATON POLICE DEPARTMENT, THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, AND THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WITH THE COURT'S OVERSIGHT TO ENSURE DUE PROCESS; AND AN EMERGENCY ORDER FOR THE IMMEDIATE PROTECTIVE CUSTODY OF ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN, CANDICE M. BERNSTEIN, JOSHUA E. Z. BERNSTEIN, JACOB N. A. BERNSTEIN, DANIEL E. A. O. BERNSTEIN, P. STEPHEN LAMONT AND P. STEPHEN LAMONT II

That Eliot I. Bernstein and P. Stephen Lamont (collectively "Petitioners"), after discussing the ensuing matters with Clerk of the Court, Debbie Yarbrough on October 6, 2004, hereby requests that the Court:

- i. Enter an order granting a motion for declaratory relief as to the status of investigations or pending investigations of the Boca Raton Police Department, Florida ("Boca PD"), the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), and the Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI") including but not limited to (a) proof of delivery by Boca PD to an unidentified District Attorney for review, (b) the joint submission of the Boca PD and District Attorney to the SEC for review, and (c) provide written confirmation that the FBI has submitted its investigation the United States attorney for the Southern District of Florida to determine if the claims of Petitioners are prosecutable; and
- ii. Enter an order granting a motion for the Court to intervene in third party investigations of the Boca PD, the SEC, and the FBI in an oversight capacity; and
- iii. Enter an order granting a motion for immediate protective custody Eliot I. Bernstein, Candice M. Bernstein, Joshua E. Z. Bernstein, Jacob N. A. Bernstein, Daniel E. A. O. Bernstein, P. Stephen Lamont and P. Stephen Lamont II, and in support state as follows:

Laft.

#### DECLARATORY RELIEF

- 1. That on or about August 25, 2003, Petitioners submitted two written statement to Detective Robert Flechaus ("Flechaus") of the Boca PD concerning the misappropriation and conversion of approximately One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000) in funds of Iviewit Holdings, Inc. ("Iviewit") and the misappropriation of intellectual property of Iviewit.
- 2. That, subsequent to those submissions, and on or about the Winter of 2003-2004, Flechaus announced to Petitioners "I have completed my investigation, and in discussions with the District Attorney, I have submitted my report to the Miami office of the SEC for review," or words to that effect.
- 3. That on or about August 1, 2004, Petitioners telephoned Flechaus to ascertain case numbers for his investigations, wherein it was stated to Petitioners by the Boca PD that no case numbers existed, and were told to contact the "combat unit" of the District Attorney and internal affairs. Further, this prompted a call by Petitioners to the Honorable Chief Andrew J. Scott ("Scott") of the Boca PD to begin an internal affairs investigation, with requests to his personnel to have only Chief Scott return such call.
- 4. That, upon information and belief, a discussion between Scott and Flechaus ensued prompting a call by Flechaus to Petitioners, wherein Flechaus offered a follow up meeting to Petitioners on September 30, 2004.
- 5. That at the follow-up meeting, Flechaus backtracked on his prior statements of the completion of his investigation, the discussion with the District Attorney, and their joint submission to the SEC a true copy of the transcription of the voice mail message attached herein as Exhibit A, but instead claimed that the FBI was handling the investigations.

AR

- 6. That shocked and dismayed at the twisted statement of Flechaus, heated discussions ensued, suggestions of "bought off" detectives were posited, and Petitioners were escorted from the offices of the Boca PD, upon demanding to speak to the Chief of Police and Internal Affairs. That Flechaus stated that in order to see the Chief or Internal Affairs Petitioner would have to call the station and make a formal meeting request.
- 7. That similar to the Boca PD, the FBI, through Special Agent Stephen Lucchesi ("Lucchesi"), offered Petitioners a follow-up meeting from their initial face to face meeting of on or about August 15, 2003, on August 12, 2004.
- 8. That in telephone discussions with Petitioners the following week, Lucchesi stated his desire to clarify issues since clarified, the summation of his report, and the delivery and discussion with the United States Attorney for the Southern District of Florida to determine if the claims of Petitioners were prosecutable.

Wherefore, Petitioners request that this Court enter an order granting a motion for declaratory relief from the Boca PD and Flechaus as to their investigations of the subject matter of the written statements, their review with an unidentified District Attorney, and their joint submission to the Miami office of the SEC, and declaratory relief from the FBI as to their submission of their report to the United States attorney for the Southern District of Florida, and such further relief that the Court deems appropriate.

# INTERVENTION IN THIRD PARTY INVESTIGATIONS AS OVERSEER AND TO ENSURE DUE PROCESS IN THE INVESTIGATORY PROCESS

9. That as a result of the retraction of Flechaus of the Boca PD and the possibly unfulfilled statements of Luchessi of the FBI, Petitioners request this Court's intervention

J4##

and oversight of third party investigations ensuring due process of law as afforded by the Constitution of the United States and its progeny, the Constitution of the State of Florida.

Wherefore, Petitioners request that this Court enter an order granting a motion for the Court's intervention in the investigations of the Boca PD, the SEC, if any, and the FBI, and such further relief that the Court deems appropriate.

#### EMERGENCY ORDER FOR PROTECTIVE CUSTODY

- 10. That subsequent to Petitioners' heated discussion with Flechaus and the removal from the offices of the Boca PD, Petitioners telephoned Chief Scott to apprise him of the turnaround in the statements of Flechaus and their desire to pursue the allegations of their written statements at a higher level of review at the Boca PD.
- 11. That blocked by other member of the Boca PD at each of approximately three telephone calls to Chief Scott, in one call, Petitioners are threatened with arrest for having taped calls of Detective Flechaus, whereby such tapes, unbeknownst to Boca PD at the time, where voice mails left on Petitioners machine by Flechaus and whereby Petitioner asked how one reporting crime could be arrested by those charged with investigation. That Petitioner took this threat as an indication that something was amiss and demanded to speak only with Chief Scott.
- 12. Petitioners then have a discussion with a one Captain Jim Burke, who identifies himself as the Assistant Chief of Police ("Assistant Chief Burke"), wherein in such discussion Assistant Chief Burke relates to Petitioners that he will personally intervene in the matter with the full support and oversight of Chief Scott and that he was relegated such task by the Chief.



- 13. That the next day, and as part and parcel of his intervention, Assistant Chief Burke calls Petitioners to a meeting at the Boca PD on August 6, 2004 at 10:30 A.M. with other scheduled attendees of Lucchesi of the FBI and an undisclosed representative of the SEC, all with the full support and oversight of Chief Scott.
- 14. That when Petitioners press Assistant Chief Burke to allow them teleconference representation by counsel at the August 6 meeting, Assistant Chief Burke stammers and hesistates stating that a meeting room has yet to be secured and that the availability of a speaker phone cannot be assured, and most troubling, suggests that Petitioners' counsel be admitted *after* the meeting, all with the full support and oversight of Chief Scott.
- 15. That when Petitioners press Assistant Chief Burke to confirm the attendance of a representative of the SEC, Assistant Chief Burke recants stating that the "people at the SEC are very busy," or words to that effect, all with the full support and oversight of Chief Scott. That further, when asked who the representative was that Flechaus had sent the case to for review, Assistant Chief Burke claims that he cannot verify if it truly was ever sent to the SEC by Flechaus. That upon request for a contact name at the SEC to include in a petition being drafted to United States Supreme Court, Assistant Chief Burke claims to have no contact name. When asked who he called to schedule such meeting with, Assistant Chief Burke claims that he has no name and when asked how or who he scheduled the meeting with at the SEC, he states he has to go and will get back with more information.
- 16. That when Petitioners press Assistant Chief Burke to confirm the attendance of Lucchesi of the FBI, Assistant Chief Burke whole heartedly guarantees the attendance of Lucchesi, all with the full support and oversight of Chief Scott.

46/1

- 17. That Petitioners ask Assistant Chief Burke to confirm that Chief Scott is personally involved, as the Boca PD website states that all internal affairs complaints be directed directly to the Chief and that from that point the Chief personally relegates the investigation or outcome.
- 18. That Petitioners' subsequent calls to Lucchesi confirming his attendance go unanswered, Petitioners send an electronic mail message to Chief Scott to confirm the roster of individuals at the October 6 meeting, who answers in reply that "he knows nothing about the matters and concerns of Petitioners," or words to that effect and a true copy of which is attached herein as Exhibit B, in direct contradiction to the affirmations of Assistant Chief Burke of the full support and oversight of Chief Scott.
- 19. That as a result of the recantations of Assistant Chief Burke as to the attendance by the SEC, the unconfirmed attendance of Lucchesi of the FBI, and the utter untrue reporting by Assistant Chief Burke of the full support and oversight of the matters of Petitioners' written statements by Chief Scott, it is plausible that Petitioners would have been confronted with a inflammatory meeting solely with members of the Boca PD subsequent to the heated discussions and suggestions of "bought off" detectives in the burying of the written statements of Petitioners. That until it is further clarified that these investigations have been conducted in a manner that conforms to proper procedure and rules that the safety of Petitioners is questionable. That because of the nature of the entire nexus of events of these matter and that with conflicts of interest and the appearance of impropriety already discovered in two state bar investigations whereby it appears that Proskauer and other named Defendants have positioned to stymie and deny due process of Petitioners, that the events herein constitute reasonable concerns that these

GAR

investigations may also have been influenced in unknown ways to further stymie and deny due process to complaints filed by Petitioner. That if such unknown ways include bribery or the likes, that the uncovering of such crime could put Petitioners in a highly dangerous and volatile environment where no state or federal agencies will intercede to aid Petitioners and where Petitioners rightfully no longer know where to turn and where such attempts to expose such crimes could lead to further attempts to cover up or intimidate and harass Petitioners by those entrusted to help Petitioner. This conflict leaves Petitioner weary now of the entire legal system, the State Bars and the authorities that would typically investigate such matters.

Wherefore, Petitioners request that this Court enter an order granting a motion for an emergency order for immediate protective custody Eliot I. Bernstein, Candice M. Bernstein, Joshua E. Z. Bernstein, Jacob N. A. Bernstein, Daniel E. A. O. Bernstein, P. Stephen Lamont and P. Stephen Lamont II and such further relief that the Court deems appropriate.

This 7th day of October 2004.

Attorney for Petitioners

Eliot I. Bernstein, Pro Se

10158 Stonehenge Circle, Suite 801

Boynton Beach, Fla. 33437

Tel: (561) 364-4240

Phot I. Bernstein

P. Stephen Lamont, Pro Se

10158 Stonehenge Circle, Suite 801

Boynton Beach, Fla. 33437

Tel; (561) 364-4240

Stepken Lamont

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a t							
by facsimile this 1	day of	October	2004,	to	The	Florida	Bar,
facsimile this 1	nile no	<u> </u>	•				
( )			0 1				

Eliot I. Bernstein

All -

**EXHIBIT A** 

AH BO

### 1<sup>st</sup> Message

Flechaus: [VOICE MAIL MESSAGE FROM PHONE NUMBER 561-395-1117] – Hey Eliot Detective Flechaus playing phone tag with you, give me a call 338-1325, thanks.

## 2<sup>nd</sup> Message

Flechaus: Hey Eliot Detective Flechaus again, hey just want to let you know that um I have been talking to the SEC down in Miami and uh their willing to uh review it and look at it, I don't if again, I don't know if you sent it in I can't remember, but there going to look at it for me again and uh go from there. Give me a call I can let you know who is going to be getting it and uh there phone number and all that good stuff and I just sent them everything plus my police report and all that good stuff but for a better explanation give me a call 338-1325.

HIL

## **EXHIBIT B**

H12/1

FAX	ME
TRANSMISSION	
	U n

From:	Iviewit Holdings, Inc.
Fax:	Eliot I. Bernstein 5613644240 <b>Phone:</b> 5613644240
To:	Federal Bureau of Investigation Special Agent Stephen Lucchesi

Date: 10/6/2004 Time: 7:31 AM page(s): 6



# Message

#### PLEASE DELIVER TO:

Special Agent Stephen Lucchesi,

If you have any questions, please feel free to call me at 561.364.4240.

Thank you for your assistance in these matters, Eliot Bernstein

Iviewit Holdings, Inc.

This electronic message transmission contains information which is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination or distribution of this communication to other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately.













002,

Eliot Bernstein

From: Eliot I. Bernstein [iviewit@adelphia.net] Sent: Wednesday, October 06, 2004 7:15 AM

To: 'Scott, Andrew'

Cc: 'Burke, Jim'; 'Ceccarelli, Tom'; 'Reuter, Rick'; Caroline Prochotska Rogers Esquire (E-

mail 2); P. Stephen Lamont (E-mail); Marc R. Garber (E-mail); 'Flaster Greenberg P.C. - Marc R. Garber, Esq.'; 'Hirsch Jackoway Tyerman Wertheimer Austen Mandelbaum & Morris - Michele Mulrooney, Esq. - Michele Mulrooney, Esq.'; 'Hirsch Jackoway Tyerman Wertheimer Austen Mandelbaum & Morris - Alan Epstein, Esq.';

Guy T. lantoni (E-mail); James Frazier Armstrong (E-mail)

Subject: RE: Iviewit Holdings and Eliot Bernstein

**Importance:** High Sensitivity: Confidential

Dear Honorable Chief of Police Andrew J. Scott:

This is most confusing, as two hours before receiving this communiqué I spoke with Jim Burke who stated that the SEC now would not be attending the meeting he scheduled and the FBI would. I asked if you personally had been notified of these matters and he stated not only that you knew but where the direct oversight of the matters, further that you would not attend as you were an extremely busy man but that he was reporting to you.

I would like to reschedule today's meeting until you have had a chance to review these matters. as I stated to Asst Chief Burke this meeting seems, to say the least, bizarre. I also asked for confirmation that the SEC had been contacted by Flechaus and he stated contrary to prior conversations that he was now not sure. When asked for a contact name he said he did not have one and that he would get back to me, this is very important information as we are preparing a Supreme Court document and these issues must be clarified for the justices currently reviewing the matters in NY & FL and the US Supreme Court is also being petitioned to intervene in all matters and investigations.

I await your direction and I am very thankful for your prompt and courteous reply.

Eliot I Bernstein Founder, President & Inventor 561.364.4240 iviewit@adelphia.net

Iviewit Holdings, Inc. 10158 Stonehenge Circle Suite 801 Boynton Beach, FL 33437-3546

THIS MESSAGE AND ITS EMBEDDED FILES INCORPORATED HEREIN CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PROPRIETARY AND CONFIDENTIAL PRIVILEGED INFORMATION. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE PROHIBITED FROM READING, OPENING, PRINTING, COPYING, FORWARDING, OR SAVING THIS MAIL AND IT'S ATTACHMENTS. PLEASE DELETE THE MESSAGE AND ITS EMBEDDED FILES WITHOUT READING, OPENING, PRINTING, COPYING, FORWARDING, OR SAVING THEM, AND NOTIFY THE SENDER IMMEDIATELY AT 561,364.4240. IF YOU ARE THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE PROHIBITED FROM FORWARDING THEM OR OTHERWISE

DISCLOSING THESE CONTENTS TO OTHERS, UNLESS EXPRESSLY DESIGNATED BY THE SENDER. THANK YOU!

ARTICLE 1, SECTION 8, CLAUSE 8 OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION PROVIDES:

"CONGRESS SHALL HAVE THE POWER ... TO PROMOTE THE PROGRESS OF SCIENCE AND USEFUL ARTS, BY SECURING FOR LIMITED TIMES TO AUTHORS AND INVENTORS THE EXCLUSIVE RIGHT TO THEIR RESPECTIVE WRITINGS AND DISCOVERIES."

-----Original Message-----

From: Scott, Andrew [mailto:AScott@ci.boca-raton.fl.us]

Sent: Tuesday, October 05, 2004 3:55 PM

To: iviewit@adelphia.net

Cc: Burke, Jim; Ceccarelli, Tom; Reuter, Rick Subject: RE: Iviewit Holdings and Eliot Bernstein

Sensitivity: Confidential

This is the first time I have received information about your concern. I will review the matter and get back to you by Wednesday of next week.

----Original Message----

From: Eliot I. Bernstein [mailto:lviewit@adelphla.net]

Sent: Tuesday, October 05, 2004 12:49 PM

To: Scott, Andrew

Co: P. Stephen Lamont (E-mail); Caroline Prochotska Rogers Esquire

(E-mail 2); 'Flaster Greenberg P.C. - Marc R. Garber, Esq.'; Marc R.

Garber (E-mail 2); James Frazier Armstrong (E-mail);

candiceb@adelphia.net

Subject: Iviewit Holdings and Eliot Bernstein

Importance: High Sensitivity: Confidential

#### Dear Honorable Chief of Police Andrew J. Scott:

I am writing to you in lieu of several calls to your office to report suspicious activity within the department and attempt to clarify for the Florida Supreme Court in case SC104-1078 the status of the investigations on two written statements submitted to Detective Robert Flechaus at his request for review and filing. Further, Detective Flechaus had stated that he had taken the matters that were formally filed with Boca PD to the SEC with the DA and that they would be calling us within 30 days to give us an update, it has been over six months and not a word. We then began a series of unreturned phone calls to Detective Flechaus and finally just a few weeks ago were notified that Flechaus was on vacation and that the woman who was handling his cases, could not find any evidence of our filings or cases. She gave us a "combat unit" at the DA office to call and check with, when we learned that it was internal affairs we became nervous and further called your office whereby Detective Flechaus then intercepted such call and called to schedule a meeting the following week with me. He appeared angry and stated that we did not have to go over his head. I have repeatedly left messages with your offices regarding the seriousness of the matter and that it could also involve internal affairs and it states on your Website, that in these kind of matters, the Chief of Police will handle the complaint directly and assign the matters from that point. We are unclear at this

point if you have been noticed of any of these matters and have repeatedly asked for verbal or written confirmation from you personally.

On September 30<sup>th</sup> I met with Detective Flechaus whom I had immediate conflict with. I asked for updates and status on the investigations and he responded that there was no investigation and that he told us prior that the FBI was handling the matters not him. I told him he was lying and that he had told us the exact opposite when we met and had stated that he contacted the FBI and according to Flechaus they were busy investigating terrorist plots and that he was going to handle the matters. He then, quite inapposite his current story, requested that we file two separate written statements with the Boca PD for investigation. We provided Flechaus with a several hundred page submission on the matters and he told us he had taken it to the States Attorney (?) and that they had sent it off for joint investigation to the SEC and that they would be contacting us shortly. This has never occurred. In fact, why would he have taken it anywhere if the FBI had stated they were handling the matters?

We are in two cases where already conflicts of interest and the appearance of impropriety have traversed to the highest level of the States, at the Supreme Court level in Florida and New York and have resulted in actions by both the NY and FL Supreme Courts to protect the integrity of such courts, to take actions to prevent further conflict by removing those previously in charge from the investigatory matters to new investigations with Supreme Court oversight. In fact, the Florida Supreme Court has already issued rulings to prevent destruction of files in the matter of a complaint lodged against Christopher Clark Wheeler of Proskauer Rose, LLP with The Florida Bar, the main protagonist to our filings with Boca PD, pending further orders from that court. NY Supreme Court Appellate Division: First Department has moved three attorney complaints, all involving those accused in our complaints, for reasons of conflict and appearance of impropriety, involving the past President of the NY Bar, Steven C. Krane and Chief Counsel of the Department, Thomas Cahill involved in the instances of conflict.

Strangely enough, after the meeting with Flechaus, I requested while I was at the station that Flechaus call you down so I could speak with you and he refused telling me I would have to call and schedule an appointment with you. I then asked where internal affairs was and was again told to call and make an appointment. Immediately upon my return home after basically being escorted out of the police station, Detective Flechaus called my home to tell me that I had no case. He stated that he contacted one of the accused parties to the stolen million dollars reported to Boca PD by the Company and that the accused, Bruce Prolow, had said that it was OK if his money was stolen from our company. Detective Flechaus reported that without Prolow testifying that it was stolen money we had no case????? This would be like calling a bank to tell them they no case against the robber because he stated that it was OK to steal the banks money. It also behooves one to wonder why Detective Flechaus began the investigation that day and in such a strange way.

Finally, after several calls, whereby I was intimated by claims from officers intercepting your calls that I might be in violation of having taped calls with Flechaus, which somehow was illegal and that I might be charged with some such crime, all makes me uncomfortable in trying to report a crime and get fair due process and proper procedure. They finally,

005.

Assistant Chief of Police, Jim Burke got on the line and stated that he was capable of taking your calls, taking over the investigation and would get back to us the next day. The next day he called to informed us that a meeting had been set with the Boca PD, the SEC and the FBI and asked if I would like to join, scheduled for tomorrow at 10:30am, to meet to discuss who would be handling which aspects of the case. When I spoke to Mr. Burke yesterday, I called asking for a conference call line or speakerphone so that my attorney in PA, who is severely disabled from a bus hitting him, be teleconferenced in and Mr. Burke asked if the attorney could call in after the meeting. I asked what good that would do and stated that I felt uncomfortable in such meeting without counsel. I asked if there was a problem and he stated he did not have a phone with speakerphone and would have to get back to me after trying to find one. He then asked who was coming from our side and I told him the attorney and the CEO would be flying in, if the SEC was attending but that they would have to know soon to book flights and we still have not heard back. What was strange is that the meeting was set telling us the SEC would be there with the FBI and vesterday he was unsure of the attendees and if the SEC would be there.

I am sure that from being told to contact the "combat unit" at the DA, to being told the SEC was investigating jointly with Boca PD and all the very strange events that are occurring, that you understand our fears that something does not seem right. I ask that you contact me directly, to clarify certain matters and assure me of a safe haven meeting tomorrow whereby I am not denied the opportunity to have counsel present based on lack of a speakerphone at the PD and the likes. I offer to bring my phone if possible. Also, we would like written affirmation that you are aware of the nexus of events and have direct oversight of these matters. Finally, we would like an assurance of who will be attending the meeting from these agencies.

Eliot I Bernstein Founder, President & Inventor 561.364.4240 iviewit@adelphia.net

lviewit Holdings, Inc. 10158 Stonehenge Circle Suite 801 Boynton Beach, FL 33437-3546

THIS MESSAGE AND ITS EMBEDDED FILES INCORPORATED HEREIN CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PROPRIETARY AND CONFIDENTIAL PRIVILEGED INFORMATION. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE PROHIBITED FROM READING, OPENING, PRINTING, COPYING, FORWARDING, OR SAVING THIS MAIL AND IT'S ATTACHMENTS. PLEASE DELETE THE MESSAGE AND ITS EMBEDDED FILES WITHOUT READING, OPENING, PRINTING, COPYING, FORWARDING, OR SAVING THEM, AND NOTIFY THE SENDER IMMEDIATELY AT 561.364.4240. IF YOU ARE THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE PROHIBITED FROM FORWARDING THEM OR OTHERWISE DISCLOSING THESE CONTENTS TO OTHERS, UNLESS EXPRESSLY DESIGNATED BY THE SENDER. THANK YOU!

ARTICLE 1, SECTION 8, CLAUSE 8 OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION PROVIDES:

006,

"CONGRESS SHALL HAVE THE POWER ... TO PROMOTE THE PROGRESS OF SCIENCE AND USEFUL ARTS, BY SECURING FOR LIMITED TIMES TO AUTHORS AND INVENTORS THE EXCLUSIVE RIGHT TO THEIR RESPECTIVE WRITINGS AND DISCOVERIES."

AN INVENTOR IS A MAN WHO LOOKS AROUND UPON THE WORLD, AND IS NOT CONTENT WITH THINGS AS THEY ARE; HE WANTS TO IMPROVE WHATEVER HE SEES; HE WANTS TO BENEFIT THE WORLD; HE IS HAUNTED BY AN IDEA; THE SPIRIT OF INVENTION POSSESSES HIM, SEEING MATERIALIZATION.

#### ALEXANDER GRAHAM BELL

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law.

Most written communications to or from local officials regarding city business are public records available to the public and media upon request. Your e-mail communications may therefore be subject to public disclosure.

The City of Boca Raton scanned this outbound message for viruses, vandals and malicious content and found this message to be free of such content.

lf lik

Digitally signed by Ellot J. Bernstein

Dof. CRL - Ellot I Bernstein, C = US, O = Iviewit Holdings, Inc.

Geason 1 am Tos author of this document

Location: 2004 10 07 FLORIDA SUPREME COURT MOTION

Date 2004 10 07 07:01:52 -04'00'

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN and	)
P. STEPHEN LAMONT	í
	í
Petitioners	΄.
1 children	`
vs.	,
¥3.	`
THE FLORIDA BAR (IN THE MATTER OF	,
ATTORNEY COMPLAINTS AGAINST;	)
CHRISTOPHER C. WHEELER, FILE NO:	,
2003-51 109 (15c); CHRISTOPHER	,
	,
C. WHEELER 2, FILE NO: PENDING CASE	)
NO. ASSIGNMENT; MATTHEW H. TRIGGS,	)
NO: PENDING CASE NO. ASSIGNMENT;	)
ERIC M. TURNER, FILE NO: PENDING	)
CASE NO. ASSIGNMENT); AND	)
COMPLAINTS OF CONFLICTS OF	)
INTEREST AND APPEARANCES OF	)
IMPROPRIETY WITH THE FOLLOWING	)
FLORIDA BAR REPRESENTATIVES;	)
MATTHEW H. TRIGGS AS A GRIEVANCE	)
COMMITTEE MEMBER AND FORMER	)
GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE MEMBER;	)
CHRISTOPHER WHEELER AS A	)
GRIEVANCE	)
COMMITTEE MEMBER AND FORMER	)
GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE MEMBER;	í
KELLY OVERSTREET JOHNSON AS	í
PRESIDENT, KENNETH L. MARVIN AS	í
DIRECTOR OF LAWYER REGULATION,	)
JOHN ANTHONY BOGGS AS DIRECTOR	)
OF LAWYER REGULATION; LORRAINE	`
CHRISTINE HOFFMAN AS BAR COUNSEL;	`
ERIC MONTEL TURNER AS CHIEF	,
BRANCH DISCIPLINE COUNSEL; AND	,
JOY A. BARTMON AS CHAIR OF A	)
GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE	,
GRIEVAINCE CUIVIIVII I LE	,
Dogwoodonta	,
Respondents.	)
	)

Crown of 1904 and

**CASE NO: SC04-1078**